## IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE Attorney Docket No. 004770.00491

In re U Mittal, et	J.S. Patent Application of Gaurav	)	
Ź		)	Examiner: Qing-Yuan Wu
Applicati	ion No. 10/625,447	)	
Filed: Ju	uly 23, 2003	)	Group Art Unit: 2194
	ystem, and Associated Method, for Downloading an Application	)	

## **INTERVIEW SUMMARY**

Mail Stop AF Commissioner for Patents P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

## Dear Sir:

This paper is responsive to the Office Action Interview Summary dated March 8, 2007. The telephonic interview took place on February 28, 2007, and Examiner Wu, Mr. Patel (#53,874), and Mr. Miller (#43,805) attended. The Applicants submitted Remarks and Amendment dated February 13, 2007 in response to Examiner's Non-Final Office Action mailed October 13, 2006. The Applicants and Examiner discussed the Section 103 rejection of Claims 1-5, 7-8, 10-12, 16, 18-19, 21-26, and 28-34 under 35 U.S.C § 103(a) as being unpatentable over Rowley (U.S. Patent No. 5,999,740), in view of Gardner III et al. (U.S. PG Pub 20040068724). Specifically, the Applicants and Examiners discussed the teachings of Rowley and the teachings of Gardner III. The Applicants argued that Rowley fails to teach the limitation of "a link." The Examiner agreed to consider Applicant's arguments in his subsequent search and reply.

Respectfully submitted,

Date: March 23, 2007 By: /Aseet Patel/

Aseet Patel
Registration No. 53,874
BANNER & WITCOFF, LTD.
10 South Wacker Drive
Suite 3000

Chicago, Illinois 60606 Telephone: 312-463-5000 Facsimile: 312-463-5001